

# NC REDISTRICTING LITIGATION

## ***PIERCE V. STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS***

Filed in federal court on Nov. 20, 2023, on behalf of two Black voters, the plaintiffs are challenging the state Senate map, alleging that reconfigured districts in northeastern North Carolina's Black Belt violate Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act by diluting Black voting power.

- **Represented by:** Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer & Poyner Spruill
- **Maps challenged:** State Senate Map
  - **Impacted areas:** Senate Districts 1 and 2 (**northeast North Carolina**)
- **Claims:** Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act
- **Proposed relief:** 2024

## ***WILLIAMS V. HALL***

Filed in federal court on Dec. 4, 2023, on behalf of several Black and Latino voters, the plaintiffs are challenging the Congressional map, arguing certain districts are racially gerrymandered and intentionally discriminatory by redrawing effective crossover districts and weakening minority opportunity districts.

- **Represented by:** Patterson Harkavy & Elias Law Group
- **Maps challenged:** Congressional Map
  - **Impacted areas:** Congressional Districts 1 (**northeast North Carolina**); 6 (**Triad area**); 12, and 14 (**Charlotte and Mecklenburg/Gaston/Cabarrus counties**)
- **Claims:** Intentional discrimination in violation of the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Amendments, racial gerrymandering in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment
- **Proposed relief:** 2026

# NC REDISTRICTING LITIGATION

## *NORTH CAROLINA STATE CONFERENCE OF THE NAACP V. BERGER*

Filed in federal court on Dec. 19, 2023, on behalf of the North Carolina State Conference of the NAACP, Common Cause and individual Black voters, the plaintiffs are challenging the state House, state Senate, and Congressional maps, alleging: all three maps violate the Voting Rights Act; the state Senate map is a racial gerrymander, violates the one-person one-vote principle, and intentionally discriminates against Black voters; the state House map violates the one-person one-vote principle and intentionally discriminates against Black voters; the Congressional map violates Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act.

- **Represented by:** Southern Coalition for Social Justice, NAACP, Hogan Lovells
- **Maps challenged:** State House, State Senate, Congressional Maps
  - **Impacted areas:**
    - House Districts 4, 5, 7, 10, 12, 24, 25, 32 (**northeast North Carolina**); 35 (**Wake County**); and 71 (**Winston-Salem**)
    - Senate Districts 1 and 2 (**northeast North Carolina**); 7 and 8 (**Wilmington**); and 42 (**Mecklenburg County**)
    - Congressional Districts 1 (**northeast North Carolina**); 5, 6, 9 and 10 (**Triad area**)
- **Claims:** Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, intentional discrimination in violation of the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Amendments, racial gerrymandering in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment, malapportionment in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment's one-person, one-vote guarantee
- **Proposed relief:** 2026

# NC REDISTRICTING LITIGATION

## ***BARD V. NORTH CAROLINA STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS***

Filed in state court on Jan. 31, 2024, on behalf of 11 North Carolina voters, the plaintiffs are challenging the state House, state Senate, and Congressional maps, alleging all three maps violate the North Carolina Constitution's unenumerated rights clause by taking away the right of North Carolinians to have fair elections.

- **Represented by:** Robert F. Orr, Greene Wilson Crow & Smith, Andrew M. Simpson
- **Maps challenged:** State House, State Senate, Congressional Maps
  - **Impacted areas:**
    - House District 105 (**Mecklenburg County**)
    - Senate District 7 (**New Hanover County**)
    - Congressional Districts 6, 13, and 14 (**Davidson, Franklin and Burke Counties**)
- **Claims:** North Carolina State Constitution's Unenumerated Right to Fair Elections
- **Proposed relief:** 2024



**SOUTHERN  
COALITION**  
for SOCIAL JUSTICE



**NC COUNTS  
COALITION**